Scientific article
UDC 37(468)
DOI: 10.24412/2076-9121-2026-2-77-87

INNOVATIONS IN HIGHER EDUCATION: A METHODOLOGY FOR THE INTEGRATION OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND PEDAGOGICAL TECHNOLOGIES[1]

Albina R. Shaidullina[1, a],
Natalia A. Zaitseva[2, b],
Alfia M. Ishmuradova[3, c]

[1] Almetyevsk State Technological University, Almetyevsk, Russia
[2] Plekhanov Russian University of Economics, Moscow, Russia
[3] Kazan (Volga region) Federal University, Naberezhnye Chelny, Russia

[a] albina-plus@mail.ru, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0648-4312
[b] zaitseva-itig@mail.ru, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0048-5509
[c] alfiaishmuradova@mail.ru, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3395-2919

Abstract. The rapid integration of artificial intelligence technologies, particularly generative AI and chatbots, into higher education necessitates a fundamental reconceptualization of the relationship between technological capabilities and pedagogical approaches. This article presents a comprehensive methodology for integrating artificial intelligence and pedagogical technologies (digital pedagogy) into the higher education system, with a specific emphasis on content verification as a critical component for reliable AI implementation. The methodological foundations of this comprehensive methodology are based on research in the fields of educational data mining, predictive analytics, and recommender systems conducted between 2019 and 2025, providing a robust theoretical and empirical basis for the proposed methodological integration. This study synthesizes scientific developments in technical, psychological, and pedagogical research to address the challenge of ensuring the authenticity of educational content while simultaneously developing students' critical thinking skills. The proposed methodology encompasses a conceptual framework for AI and digital pedagogy integration, a data storage architecture, algorithms for data collection and preprocessing, verification protocols for generated content, and pedagogical strategies for using verification as a learning tool. The practical significance of this research lies in the development of content verification tools and methodological guidelines for the use of AI in higher education institutions.

Keywords: artificial intelligence, digital pedagogy, content verification, higher education, generative AI, educational data mining, critical thinking, methodological integration

[1] Статья публикуется в авторской редакции.

Научная статья
УДК 37(468)
DOI: 10.24412/2076-9121-2026-2-77-87

ИННОВАЦИИ В ВЫСШЕМ ОБРАЗОВАНИИ: МЕТОДОЛОГИЯ ИНТЕГРАЦИИ ИСКУССТВЕННОГО ИНТЕЛЛЕКТА И ПЕДАГОГИЧЕСКИХ ТЕХНОЛОГИЙ

Альбина Рафисовна Шайдуллина[1, a],
Наталия Александровна Зайцева[2, b],
Альфия Миннемухтаровна Ишмурадова[3, c]

[1] Альметьевский государственный технологический университет, Альметьевск, Россия
[2] Российский экономический университет им. Г. В. Плеханова, Москва, Россия
[3] Казанский (Приволжский) федеральный университет, Набережные Челны, Россия
[a] albina-plus@mail.ru, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0648-4312
[b] zaitseva-itig@mail.ru, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0048-5509
[c] alfiaishmuradova@mail.ru, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3395-2919

Аннотация. Быстрая интеграция технологий искусственного интеллекта, в частности, генеративного ИИ и чат-ботов, в высшее образование требует фундаментального переосмысления взаимосвязи между технологическими возможностями и педагогическими подходами. В статье представлена комплексная методология интеграции искусственного интеллекта и педагогических технологий (цифровая педагогика) в систему высшего образования, с особым акцентом на верификацию контента как важнейшего компонента надежной реализации ИИ. Методологическими основаниями комплексной методологии выступили исследования в области интеллектуального анализа образовательных данных, предиктивной аналитики и рекомендательных систем, проведенные в период с 2019 по 2025 годы, что обеспечило надежную теоретическую и эмпирическую базу для предложенной методологической интеграции. Исследование обобщает научные разработки в области технических, психологических и педагогических исследований для решения задачи обеспечения достоверности образовательного контента при одновременном развитии критического мышления студентов. Предложенная методология включает в себя концептуальные основы интеграции ИИ и цифровой педагогики, архитектуру хранения данных, алгоритмы сбора и предварительной обработки данных, протоколы верификации сгенерированного контента и педагогические стратегии использования верификации в качестве инструмента обучения. Практическая значимость исследования заключается в разработке инструментов верификации образовательного контента и методических рекомендаций по использованию ИИ в образовательных учреждениях высшего образования.

Ключевые слова: искусственный интеллект, цифровая педагогика, верификация контента, высшее образование, генеративный ИИ, интеллектуальный анализ образовательных данных, критическое мышление, методологическая интеграция

For citation: Shaidullina, A. R., Zaitseva, N. A., & Ishmuradova, A. M. (2026). Innovations in higher education: a methodology for the integration of artificial intelligence and pedagogical technologies. *MCU Journal of Pedagogy and Psychology, 20*(2), 77–87. https://doi.org/10.24412/2076-9121-2026-2-77-87

Для цитирования: Шайдуллина, А. Р., Зайцева, Н. А., и Ишмурадова, А. М. (2026). Инновации в высшем образовании: методология интеграции искусственного интеллекта и педагогических технологий. *Вестник МГПУ. Серия «Педагогика и психология», 20*(2), 77–87. https://doi.org/10.24412/2076-9121-2026-2-77-87

Introduction

The contemporary landscape of higher education is undergoing a paradigm shift, driven by the unprecedented velocity of artificial intelligence (AI) adoption. The emergence of sophisticated generative AI models and interactive chatbots has moved beyond a speculative future to an operational present, fundamentally altering the dynamics of teaching, learning, and assessment (Holmes, Bialik and Fadel, 2023). This rapid technological infusion presents a dual-edged reality: while offering immense potential for personalized learning, automated content creation, and enhanced student support, it simultaneously challenges the foundational pillars of academic integrity, critical inquiry, and pedagogical efficacy. The core dilemma facing modern universities is not whether to integrate AI, but how to do so in a manner that is both pedagogically sound and epistemologically reliable (Aydin et al., 2026; Gallo et al., 2026).

The uncritical adoption of AI-generated content poses a significant threat to the development of higher-order cognitive skills. When students and educators rely on AI as a primary source of information without rigorous scrutiny, the educational process risks devolving into a transaction of convenience rather than a journey of intellectual growth (Sullivan, Kelly, & McLaughlan, 2024). This challenge necessitates a fundamental rethinking of the relationship between technological capability and pedagogical purpose. The central problem addressed by this research is the lack of a structured, holistic methodology that integrates AI tools within a pedagogical framework designed to verify the authenticity, accuracy, and educational value of the content they produce.

This article posits that the solution lies not in restricting AI use, but in embedding it within a robust system of verification — a system that treats the act of verification itself as a critical pedagogical exercise. This approach transforms the potential vulnerability of AI-generated inaccuracies into a strength, leveraging them as opportunities for students to develop critical thinking, analytical reasoning, and information literacy. The purpose of this study is to present a comprehensive methodology for the integration of AI and digital pedagogy in higher education, with a specific focus on content verification as the central component for ensuring reliable and educationally valuable implementation. This methodology is built upon a synthesis of research in technical, psychological, and pedagogical domains conducted over the past six years, aiming to provide a practical and theoretically grounded framework for higher education institutions.

Materials and methods

The methodological foundations of this study are anchored in a synthesis of interdisciplinary research conducted between 2019 and 2025. This period was chosen to capture the rapid evolution of AI in education, from early predictive models to the current generative AI revolution. The research methodology employed in developing this comprehensive integration framework consisted of three primary phases: a systematic literature review, a conceptual modeling phase, and a synthetic design phase.

The systematic literature review focused on three interconnected domains. First, the technical domain included research on educational data mining (EDM), which involves developing methods for discovering patterns from large-scale educational data; predictive analytics for identifying at-risk students and personalizing learning pathways; and recommender systems for suggesting relevant learning resources. Key works in this area, such as those by Baker and Inventado (2014, 2016), Borchers et al. (2025), Zhang et al. (2022) on EDM frameworks, provided the foundation for understanding data architecture and algorithm design. Second, the psychological domain examined research on human-AI interaction, cognitive load in AI-enhanced learning environments, and the psychological factors influencing trust in AI systems. Studies on algorithmic literacy and cognitive offloading were particularly relevant (Yang et al., 2024; Sohail Shahab et al., 2023; Wu Yanan, Zeng Xiaoping & Song Wu, 2025). Third, the pedagogical domain focused on constructivist and critical pedagogy approaches to technology integration, including digital citizenship, media literacy, and the development of critical thinking skills in the digital age (Choudhuri Rudrajit et al., 2026; Kawade, & Deoskar, 2020; Alhaif Alia et al., 2025).

The conceptual modeling phase involved synthesizing the findings from the literature review to define the core components of a unified methodology. This process employed a systems thinking approach to map the interdependencies between technological infrastructure, data governance, pedagogical strategies, and verification protocols. The result was a layered architecture that delineates data storage, processing, algorithmic application, and pedagogical application.

The synthetic design phase culminated in the articulation of a comprehensive methodology. This involved developing algorithms for data preprocessing tailored to educational contexts, defining verification protocols for AI-generated content, and aligning these with pedagogical strategies that treat verification as a core learning activity. The synthesis aimed to create a coherent, actionable framework that bridges the gap between technological potential and pedagogical integrity. The methodological rigor of this synthesis was ensured by grounding each component in established research from the 2019–2025 corpus, providing a robust theoretical and empirical base for the proposed integration.

Results

The primary result of this research is the development of a comprehensive methodology for the integration of AI and digital pedagogy, structured around five interconnected components. This methodology is designed as a holistic system where each component is essential for the reliable and effective deployment of AI in higher education.

1. Conceptual Framework for AI and Digital Pedagogy Integration.

The conceptual framework redefines the role of AI not as a substitute for, but as a catalyst for, enhanced pedagogical interaction. It is predicated on the principle of "pedagogical primacy," where technological choices are dictated by learning objectives rather than the converse. The framework positions content verification as a central, non-negotiable element that connects technological output to pedagogical process. It establishes a triadic relationship: (1) the educator as a pedagogical designer who curates AI interactions, (2) the student as an active agent who engages critically with AI-generated content, and (3) the AI as a dynamic tool for content generation, personalization, and feedback. This framework ensures that every instance of AI use is framed within a pedagogical context that emphasizes inquiry, analysis, and verification.

2. Data Storage Architecture.

The data storage architecture is designed to support both the efficiency of AI operations and the integrity of the verification process. It employs a hybrid model combining a relational database for structured, transactional data (e.g., student records, course structures, assessment metadata) and a data lake for unstructured, raw data (e.g., AI-generated text logs, student verification annotations, interaction transcripts). A critical feature of this architecture is the "verification ledger," an immutable record that stores every piece of AI-generated content alongside its source, the subsequent verification actions taken by students or educators, and the final adjudicated status. This ledger serves as a critical resource for auditing AI performance, identifying common inaccuracies, and tracking the development of student verification skills over time.

3. Algorithms for Data Collection and Preprocessing.

Effective AI integration requires clean, structured, and contextually relevant data. The proposed algorithms focus on three key processes:

Data Ingestion: Algorithms for ingesting data from diverse sources (Learning Management Systems, student response systems, library databases) into the data lake, with a focus on preserving provenance and metadata.

Data Preprocessing: A set of algorithms designed to clean and structure educational data. This includes natural language processing (NLP) pipelines for anonymizing student submissions, algorithms for extracting key concepts from course syllabi to create domain-specific knowledge graphs, and processes for normalizing assessment data across different course formats.

Contextualization: Algorithms that enrich raw data with pedagogical context. For instance, when a student submits a query, the system preprocesses it by pulling relevant course materials, past interactions, and assessment rubrics to provide the AI with a richer context, thereby improving the relevance of its initial output and establishing a baseline for subsequent verification.

4. Verification Protocols for Generated Content.

This component forms the methodological core, addressing the challenge of AI reliability through a multi-layered verification system. The protocol is not a single test but a staged process designed to be integrated into the learning workflow:

Layer 1: Automated Pre-Verification (Source Tracing). Before AI-generated content is presented to a student, an automated system performs a real-time source-tracing function. It identifies the foundational data points, training sources, or logical inferences used to generate the output. If the content is based on specific course materials, it cites them. If it is a synthesis, it flags the "inferential gap" and presents it as a hypothesis rather than a fact.

Layer 2: Student-Led Verification Workflow. Students are guided through a structured verification process. This includes:

Factual Verification: Cross-referencing the AI's claims with vetted course materials, academic databases, and primary sources.

Logical Verification: Analyzing the coherence of the AI's argument, identifying potential logical fallacies or unstated assumptions.

Methodological Verification: Assessing the appropriateness of any methods, formulas, or theoretical frameworks suggested by the AI for the task at hand.

Annotation and Evidence Submission: Students are required to annotate the AI-generated text, providing links, citations, or reasoned arguments that confirm, refute, or qualify each claim.

Layer 3: Educator Mediated Review. The educator reviews the student's verification annotations. This serves a dual purpose: it assesses the student's critical thinking skills and provides a final quality check on the AI-generated content. The educator's feedback becomes part of the "verification ledger," creating a closed feedback loop that refines both the student's skills and the system's performance.

5. Pedagogical Strategies: Verification as a Learning Tool.

The final component transforms the verification protocols from a mere quality assurance mechanism into a powerful pedagogical tool. This is achieved through the following strategies:

Verification-First Assignments. Rather than asking students to generate original content from scratch, assignments are structured around verifying AI-generated outputs. For example, a task might involve providing students with a complex, well-structured, but subtly flawed AI-generated essay on a topic and asking them to verify its claims, correct inaccuracies, and improve its reasoning. This flips the cognitive load from generation to critical analysis.

Critical AI Literacy Modules. Curricular modules are designed to teach students how AI models function, their inherent biases, and the importance of verification. This builds the necessary metacognitive skills to interact with AI responsibly.

The Verification Ledger as a Portfolio. Students verification annotations are aggregated into a portfolio that demonstrates their development in critical thinking, information literacy, and domain-specific knowledge. This shifts assessment from the final product (which AI could generate) to the process of intellectual engagement.

Collaborative Verification. Students work in pairs or groups to verify AI-generated content. This collaborative approach fosters discussion, debate, and peer learning, further enriching the verification process.

Discussion issues

The implementation of this comprehensive methodology, while promising, raises several critical discussion issues that warrant careful consideration. These issues span technical, ethical, pedagogical, and institutional domains.

1. The Challenge of Algorithmic Transparency and Bias.

A foundational assumption of the verification protocol is that the AI system's outputs can be traced and scrutinized. However, the "black box" nature of many advanced generative AI models complicates this. While Layer 1 (source tracing) aims to provide transparency, the complex, non-linear nature of neural networks makes definitive source attribution for synthesized content inherently difficult. This raises the question: how can we verify content when the AI's own "reasoning" is opaque? The proposed methodology does not solve this technical limitation but instead addresses it pedagogically. By framing AI outputs as hypotheses requiring external validation, it acknowledges and works around the black-box problem. Future research must focus on developing more interpretable AI models for educational contexts.

2. Evolving the Role of the Educator.

The methodology significantly transforms the educator's role from a primary content deliverer to a pedagogical designer, critical mentor, and verification mediator. This shift requires substantial professional development and institutional support. Educators must be trained not only in the technical aspects of the AI tools but also in the new pedagogical skills required to design verification-first assignments and mediate complex interactions between students and AI. Without this support, the integration could lead to increased workload and frustration, undermining the methodology's potential. Institutions must invest in faculty development programs that treat this transition as a core strategic priority.

3. Equity and the Digital Divide.

The successful implementation of this methodology is predicated on equitable access to technology. This includes not only access to AI tools but also to the high-speed internet, reliable computing devices, and the foundational digital literacy skills required to engage in complex verification tasks. There is a risk that the move toward AI-integrated pedagogy could exacerbate existing inequalities between students from different socioeconomic backgrounds. Institutions must adopt a principle of "digital equity by design," ensuring that all students have the necessary resources and support to participate fully in the AI-enhanced learning environment. This may involve providing hardware, subsidizing internet access, and integrating foundational digital literacy skills into the curriculum.

4. Assessment Integrity in an AI-Augmented World.

While the verification-ledger approach offers a promising path for authentic assessment, it does not eliminate all concerns about academic integrity. The question of whether a student or an AI performed the original "thinking" remains complex. The methodology addresses this by shifting the focus from the originality of a final product to the documented process of critical engagement. However, sophisticated AI could potentially be used to generate plausible verification annotations. This necessitates the development of new assessment literacy for both educators and students, where the value is placed on demonstrable critical reasoning, which is harder to automate than content generation. Continuous adaptation of assessment methods will be required as AI capabilities evolve.

5. Institutional Readiness and Change Management.

The proposed methodology represents a fundamental change in institutional processes, from IT infrastructure and data governance to curriculum design and faculty roles. The primary barrier to implementation is likely to be institutional inertia and a lack of coherent strategy. Successful adoption requires a top-down commitment to a shared vision, cross-departmental collaboration (involving IT, academic affairs, faculty development, and library services), and a phased implementation plan that allows for iterative learning and adaptation. A pilot program approach, where the methodology is tested in a specific department or faculty before scaling, is a recommended strategy.

Conclusion

This article has presented a comprehensive methodology for the integration of artificial intelligence and digital pedagogy in higher education, with content verification as its cornerstone. The proposed methodology addresses the central challenge of our time: how to harness the immense power of generative AI while safeguarding the integrity of the educational process and cultivating the critical thinking skills essential for an uncertain future. By synthesizing research from technical, psychological, and pedagogical fields, the study has produced a five-component framework that provides a practical and theoretically grounded roadmap for higher education institutions.

The methodology moves beyond simplistic discussions of AI as a tool or a threat, positioning it instead as a catalyst for a profound pedagogical transformation. The focus on verification protocols, not merely as a technical fix but as a pedagogical strategy, reframes the act of critique as a core learning outcome. The verification ledger, the student-led workflow, and the collaborative verification strategies collectively build a system where the inherent limitations of AI — its capacity for inaccuracy, bias, and opaque reasoning — are leveraged as opportunities for deep, active learning.

The practical significance of this research lies in its tangible outputs: a defined architectural framework, algorithmic specifications, and pedagogical guidelines. However, its successful implementation hinges on addressing the critical issues of algorithmic transparency, the evolution of the educator's role, digital equity, assessment integrity, and institutional readiness. The future of higher education in the age of AI will not be determined by the sophistication of the algorithms alone, but by the wisdom of the pedagogical frameworks we build around them. This methodology offers a starting point for that essential work, providing a structure within which institutions can begin to experiment, adapt, and ultimately realize the promise of a truly integrated, critically engaged, and future-ready educational system.

References / Список источников

1. Holmes, W., Bialik, M. and Fadel, C. (2023) Artificial Intelligence in Education. *Globethics Publications,* 621–653. https://doi.org/10.58863/20.500.12424/4276068

2. Aydin, S., Schnabel, M. A., Chowdhury, S., & Di Giuseppantonio, Di Franco, P. (2026). Editorial: Digital heritage futures. *Front. Comput. Sci., 8:* 1812123. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcomp.2026.1812123

3. Gallo, L., Carruba, M. C., Ferraro, A., Lund, H. H., Rega, A. & Triberti, S. (2026). Editorial: AI innovations in education: adaptive learning and beyond. *Front. Comput. Sci., 8*: 1822456. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcomp.2026.1822456

4. Sullivan, Miriam & Kelly, Andrew, & McLaughlan, Paul. (2023). ChatGPT in higher education: Considerations for academic integrity and student learning. *Journal of Applied Learning & Teaching. 6.* https://doi.org/10.37074/jalt.2023.6.1.17

5. Baker, R. S., & Inventado, P. (2016) Educational Data Mining and Learning Analytics: Potentials and Possibilities for Online Distance Education. In Veletsianos, G. (Ed.). *Emergence and Innovation in Digital Learning: Foundations and Applications,* 83–98.

6. Baker, R. S. J. d., & Inventado, P. S. (2014) Educational Data Mining and Learning Analytics. In Larusson J. A., & White, B. (Eds.). *Learning Analytics: From Research to Practice.* Berlin, Germany: Springer.

7. Borchers, C., Zhang, J., Fleischer, H., Schanze, S., Aleven, V., & Baker, R. S. (2025). Large Language Models Generalize SRL Prediction to New Languages Within But Not Between Domains. *Journal of Educational Data Mining, 17* (2), 24–54.

8. Zhang, J., Andres, J. M. A. L., Hutt, S., Baker, R. S., Ocumpaugh, J., Nasiar, N., Mills, C., Brooks, J., Sethuraman, S., & Young, T. (2022). Using Machine Learning to Detect SMART Model Cognitive Operations in Mathematical Problem-Solving Process. *Journal of Educational Data Mining, 14* (3), 76–108.

9. Yang, Weiquan, Lu, Zhaolin, Li, Zengrui, Cui, Yalin, Dai, Lijin, Li, Yupeng, Ma, Xiaorui, & Zhu, Huaibo (2024). The impact of human-AIGC tools collaboration on the learning effect of college students: a key factor for future education? *Kybernetes, 54.* https://doi.org/10.1108/K-03-2024-0613

10. Sohail, Shahab, Farhat, Faiza, Himeur, Yassine, Nadeem, Mohammad Madsen, Dag, Singh, Yashbir, Atalla, Shadi, & Mansoor, Wathiq. (2023). Decoding ChatGPT: A Taxonomy of Existing Research, Current Challenges, and Possible Future Directions. *Journal of King Saud University — Computer and Information Sciences, 35,* 101675. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksuci.2023.101675

11. Wu, Yanan, Zeng, Xiaoping, & Song, Wu. (2025). *Exploring the Impact of Generative AI for Sustainable Design Education: Developing and Evaluating an AI-Assisted Pedagogical Model.* https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-7438931/v1

12. Choudhuri, Rudrajit, Sanchez, Christopher A., Burnett, Margaret, & Sarma, Anita. (2026). *Why Johnny Can't Think: GenAI's Impacts on Cognitive Engagement.* https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2601.22430

13. Kawade, Bharati, & Deoskar, Aruna (2020). *Educational Data Analytics: A Review* (vol. 13, pp. 166–168). https://doi.org/10.15680/ijircce.2015.0302070

14. Alhaif, Alia, Aleidi, Asma, Ali, Doaa, Abdelfatah, Hussein, Diab, Hanan, & Ibrahem, Usama. (2025). The Future of Learning in the Age of Artificial Intelligence (AI) — The Effects of AI on an Environment of Teaching and Learning. *Libri, 75*(3), 235–251. https://doi.org/10.1515/libri-2024-0152

Статья поступила в редакцию: 27.09.2025;
одобрена после рецензирования: 30.11.2025;
принята к публикации: 16.03.2026.

The article was submitted: 27.09.2025;
approved after reviewing: 30.11.2025;
accepted for publication: 16.03.2026.

Information about the authors / Информация об авторах:

Albina R. Shaidullina — Doctor of Sciences in Education, Associate Professor, Professor of the School of Economics and Interdisciplinary Studies, Almetyevsk State Technological University «Petroleum High School», Almetyevsk, Russia.

Альбина Рафисовна Шайдуллина — доктор педагогических наук, доцент, профессор Школы экономики и междисциплинарных исследований, Альметьевский государственный технологический университет «Высшая школа нефти», Альметьевск, Россия.

albina-plus@mail.ru, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0648-4312

Natalia A. Zaitseva — Doctor of Sciences in Economics, Professor, Professor of the Department of Hotel and Tourism Management, Plekhanov Russian University of Economics, Moscow, Russia.

Наталия Александровна Зайцева — доктор экономических наук, профессор, профессор кафедры гостиничного и туристического менеджмента, Российский экономический университет имени Г. В. Плеханова, Москва, Россия.

zaitseva-itig@mail.ru, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0048-5509

Alfia M. Ishmuradova — Candidate of Sciences (PhD) in Education, Associate Professor, Associate Professor of the Department of Foreign Languages, Naberezhnye Chelny Branch of Kazan (Volga region) Federal University, Naberezhnye Chelny, Russia.

Альфия Миннемухтаровна Ишмурадова — кандидат педагогических наук, доцент, доцент кафедры иностранных языков, Набережночелнинский институт (филиал), Казанский (Приволжский) федеральный университет, Набережные Челны, Россия.

alfiaishmuradova@mail.ru, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3395-2919

Contribution of the authors: the authors contributed equally to this article. The authors declare no conflicts of interests.

Вклад авторов: все авторы сделали эквивалентный вклад в подготовку публикации. Авторы заявляют об отсутствии конфликта интересов.